

MILNOR INVARIANTS OF COVERING LINKS

NATSUMI KOBAYASHI, KODAI WADA AND AKIRA YASUHARA

ABSTRACT. We consider Milnor invariants for certain covering links as a generalization of covering linkage invariants formulated by R. Hartley and K. Murasugi. A set of Milnor invariants for covering links is a cobordism invariant of a link, and that this invariant can distinguish some links for which the ordinary Milnor invariants coincide. Moreover, for a Brunnian link L , the first non-vanishing Milnor invariants of L is modulo-2 congruent to a sum of Milnor invariants of covering links. As a consequence, a sum of linking numbers of ‘iterated’ covering links gives the first non-vanishing Milnor invariant of L modulo 2.

1. INTRODUCTION

For a link L in the 3-sphere S^3 , we consider a branched cover of S^3 branched over components of L . The set of linking numbers between 2-component sublinks of the preimage $\tilde{L}$ of L had been recognized as a useful invariant for knots and links, see for example [2], [10], [16] and [17]. R. Hartley and K. Murasugi [9] called this invariant the *covering linkage invariant*.

J. Milnor [12], [13] defined a family of invariants for a link indexed by sequences of integers in $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, where n is the number of components of the link. Since the Milnor invariant of a link for a length two sequence ij coincides with the linking number of the i th and j th components of the link, we could regard the Milnor invariants for sequences with the length at least 3 as a kind of higher order linking numbers.

So it seems to be natural to consider the Milnor invariants for (sublinks of) $\tilde{L}$ as a generalization of covering linkage invariants. In fact, for a prime number p , T.D. Cochran and K.E. Orr [6] defined ‘mod p or p -adic versions’ Milnor invariants for links in the $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -homology 3-sphere. Their Milnor invariants can be also defined for $\tilde{L}$ in the p -fold cyclic branched cover of S^3 branched over a component of L .

In order to investigate the ordinary Milnor invariants for $\tilde{L}$, we only consider a simple case as follows: Let L be a link with a trivial component K such that the linking numbers between K and the other components are even. For the double branched cover $\Sigma(K)$ branched over K , a link in $\Sigma(K)$ which consists of components of the preimage of each component of $L \setminus K$ is said to be a *covering link*. Note that there are 2^{n-1} covering links in $\Sigma(K)$, where n is the number of components of L . (We remark that in [6], they call the preimage $\tilde{L}$ of L ‘the’ covering link.) Since $\Sigma(K)$ is also S^3 , we can define the ordinary Milnor invariants for each covering link.

It is known that the Milnor invariants are cobordism invariants [3]. It is also true that a set of the Milnor invariants for certain covering links are cobordism invariants of L (Theorem 3.1). In [6], Cochran and Orr show that their invariants are (p -)cobordism invariants of the *covering link* $\tilde{L}$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57M12, 57M25, 57M27.

Key words and phrases. Milnor invariant; Covering linkage; Covering space; Cobordism; Link-homotopy; Claspers.

The third author is partially supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (#23540074) of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

In [6], they do not make it clear if their Milnor invariants of the covering link are useful when the ordinary Milnor invariants are useless. Our invariants, the Milnor invariants of a covering link, can distinguish some links for which the ordinary Milnor invariants coincide, see Remark 7.2.

For a Brunnian link L in S^3 , we show that the first non-vanishing Milnor invariants of L is modulo-2 congruent to a sum of Milnor invariants of certain covering links (Theorem 4.1). In [15], Murasugi proved that Milnor invariants of a link are linking numbers in appropriate nilpotent covering spaces of S^3 branched over the link, i.e., he described the exact correspondence between Milnor invariants and covering linkage invariants. While it looks that our result is weaker than the result of Murasugi, the authors believe that the result is worth mentioning because it is hard to treat nilpotent covers in general.

2. MILNOR INVARIANTS

Let L be an oriented ordered n -component link in S^3 . Let p be 0 or a prime number. Let G be the fundamental group of the complement of L and G_q^p a normal subgroup of G generated by $[x, y]z^p$ for $x \in G$, $y, z \in G_{q-1}^p$, where $[x, y]$ is the commutator of x and y , and G_1^p means G . (We remark that in [18], G is defined to be G_0^p .)

It is shown by similar to Theorem 4 in [13] that the quotient group G/G_q^p is isomorphic to a group with the following presentation:

$$\langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \mid [\alpha_i, \lambda_i^q] (i = 1, 2, \dots, n), F_q^p \rangle,$$

where α_i, λ_i^q represent i th meridian and longitude of L respectively and F is a free group generated by $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$. In particular, λ_i^q can be chosen as a word in $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ so that $\lambda_i^q = \lambda_i^0$.

We introduce the *Magnus $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -expansion* of λ_j^q . The Magnus $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -expansion E^p is an embedding homomorphism of F to the formal power series ring in non-commutative variables $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ with $\mathbb{Z}_p$ coefficients defined by $E(\alpha_i) = 1 + X_i$ and $E(\alpha_i^{-1}) = 1 - X_i + X_i^2 - X_i^3 + \dots$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) [18, 6.1 Lemma]. Then $E^p(\lambda_j^q)$ can be written in the form

$$E^p(\lambda_j^q) = 1 + \sum_{k < q} \mu_L^q(i_1 i_2 \dots i_k j)_p X_{i_1} X_{i_2} \dots X_{i_k} + (\text{terms of degree } \geq q),$$

where a coefficient $\mu_L^q(i_1 i_2 \dots i_k j)_p$ is defined for each sequence $i_1 i_2 \dots i_k j$ of integers in $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Let $1 + f$ be the Magnus $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -expansion of an element of F_q^p . Then the degree of any term in f is at least q (see [18, 6.2 Lemma]). This implies that for $q < q'$

$$\mu_L^q(i_1 i_2 \dots i_k j)_p = \mu_L^{q'}(i_1 i_2 \dots i_k j)_p.$$

Taking q sufficiently large, we may ignore q and hence denote $\mu_L^q(i_1 i_2 \dots i_k j)_p$ by $\mu_L(i_1 i_2 \dots i_k j)_p$.

For a sequence $I = i_1 i_2 \dots i_k j$ ($k < q$), let $\Delta_L(I)_p$ be the ideal of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ generated by $\mu(J)_p$'s, where J is obtained from a proper subsequence of I by permuting cyclicly. Then the *Milnor invariant* $\overline{\mu}_L(I)_p$ is defined by

$$\overline{\mu}_L(I)_p \equiv \mu_L(I)_p \pmod{\Delta_L(I)_p}.$$

The *length* of $\overline{\mu}_L(I)_p$ means the length of I .

Remark 2.1. (1) The ordinary Milnor invariant $\overline{\mu}_L(I)$ given in [12], [13] is equal to $\overline{\mu}_L(I)_0$. (In [13], $\Delta_L(I)_0$ is defined as the greatest common divisor of $\mu_L(J)_0$'s.) When p is a prime number, $\Delta_L(I)_p$ is equal to either $\{0\}$ or $\mathbb{Z}_p$ since $\mathbb{Z}_p$ is a field. Hence we essentially consider the first non-vanishing of $\overline{\mu}_L(I)_p$ when p is prime. Since $G_q^0 \subset G_q^p$ for any prime number p , $\mu_L(I)_p$ is the modulo- p reduction

of $\mu_L(I)_0$. Taking p sufficiently large, we may regard that $\bar{\mu}_L(I)_p = \bar{\mu}_L(I)_0$ if $\Delta_L(I)_0 = \{0\}$.

(2) In order to state our results, we only need the original definition by Milnor [12], [13]. The definition in this section will be needed to apply a theorem of Stallings [18, 5.1 Theorem] in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

3. COVERING MILNOR INVARIANTS

Let $L = K_1 \cup K_2 \cup \cdots \cup K_{n+1}$ be an oriented $(n+1)$ -component link in S^3 with K_{n+1} is trivial and linking numbers of K_{n+1} and K_i are even for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Let $\Sigma(K_{n+1})$ be the double branched cover of S^3 branched over K_{n+1} and $K_i^\varepsilon (\subset \Sigma(K_{n+1}))$ a component of the preimage of K_i ($\varepsilon \in \{0, 1\}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$). Then we denote by $L(\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_n)$ a link $K_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cup K_2^{\varepsilon_2} \cup \cdots \cup K_n^{\varepsilon_n}$ ($\varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$) in $\Sigma(K_{n+1})$ and call it a *covering link* of L . Since by the covering translation of $\Sigma(K_{n+1})$ there is an bijection from $\{L(0\varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_n) \mid \varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}\}$ to $\{L(1\delta_2 \cdots \delta_n) \mid \delta_i \in \{0, 1\}\}$, we only consider the set $\{L(0\varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_n) \mid \varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}\}$ in this section (and the last section). It is obvious that the set $\{L(0\varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_n) \mid \varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}\}$ is an invariant of L . Note that $\Sigma(K_{n+1})$ is still S^3 because the branch set K_{n+1} is trivial. Hence Milnor invariants are naturally defined for covering links. In particular for a sequence I of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $M_L(I)_p = \{\bar{\mu}_{L(0\varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_n)}(I)_p \mid \varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}\}$ is an invariant of L , where each element i of $\{2, \dots, n\}$ corresponds to the subindex of $K_i^{\varepsilon_i}$. We call $M_L(I)_p$ the *covering $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -Milnor invariant* of L . In particular, we denote $M_L(I)_0$ by $M_L(I)$ and call it the *covering Milnor invariant* of L . It is easy to see that $M_L(I)_p$ is an ambient isotopy invariant for each p and $M_L(I)$ is the strongest invariant of them. But it is not clear whether each $M_L(I)_p$ is a cobordism invariant. Here, two n -component links $L = K_1 \cup K_2 \cup \cdots \cup K_n$ and $L' = K'_1 \cup K'_2 \cup \cdots \cup K'_n$ in S^3 are *cobordant* if there is a disjoint union of annuli $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$ in $S^3 \times [0, 1]$ such that the boundary $\partial A_i = K_i \cup (-K'_i)$ for each $i (= 1, 2, \dots, n)$, where $-K'_i$ is the i th component K'_i with the opposite orientation. It is known that Milnor invariants of links are cobordism invariants [3]. The same result holds for the first non-vanishing covering Milnor invariants as follows.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $L = K_1 \cup K_2 \cup \cdots \cup K_{n+1}$ be an oriented $(n+1)$ -component link in S^3 with K_{n+1} is trivial and linking numbers of K_{n+1} and K_i are even for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. For a sequence I , if $\Delta_{L(0\varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_n)}(I)_0 = \{0\}$ for all ε_i ($i \in \{2, \dots, n\}, \varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$), then $M_L(I)$ is a cobordism invariant of L . That is, the first non-vanishing covering Milnor invariants are cobordism invariants.*

Let us first prove the following.

Lemma 3.2. *Let W be a connected 4-manifold with the first and second betti numbers are zero. There is a prime number p such that the first and second homology groups $H_1(W; \mathbb{Z}_p)$, $H_2(W; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ of W with $\mathbb{Z}_p$ coefficients are trivial. Moreover, for any prime number p' with $p' > p$, $H_1(W; \mathbb{Z}_{p'}) \cong H_2(W; \mathbb{Z}_{p'}) \cong \{0\}$.*

Proof. Since the first and second betti numbers are zero by the hypothesis, there is a prime number p such that

$$H_1(W; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \cong H_2(W; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \cong \{0\}.$$

Note that this is also true for any prime number which is greater than p .

Since W is connected, $H_0(W; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. By the universal coefficient theorem for homology, we have the following two short exact sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} \{0\} &\longrightarrow H_1(W; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \longrightarrow H_1(W; \mathbb{Z}_p) \longrightarrow \text{Tor}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \longrightarrow \{0\}, \\ \{0\} &\longrightarrow H_2(W; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \longrightarrow H_2(W; \mathbb{Z}_p) \longrightarrow \text{Tor}(H_1(W; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}_p) \longrightarrow \{0\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{Tor}(G_1, G_2)$ is the torsion product of abelian groups G_1 and G_2 . Now we have $\text{Tor}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \text{Tor}(H_1(W; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \{0\}$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let $L = K_1 \cup K_2 \cup \cdots \cup K_{n+1}$ (resp. $L' = K'_1 \cup K'_2 \cdots \cup K'_{n+1}$) be an oriented $(n+1)$ -component link in S^3 with K_{n+1} (resp. K'_{n+1}) is trivial and linking numbers of K_{n+1} and K_i (resp. K'_{n+1} and K'_i) are even for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Suppose that L and L' are cobordant, i.e., there is a disjoint union of annuli $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n+1}$ in $S^3 \times [0, 1]$ such that each boundary $\partial A_i = K_i \cup (-K'_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$.

Let W be the double branched cover of $S^3 \times [0, 1]$ branched over A_{n+1} . Fix $\varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n$, we may assume that the covering links $L(0\varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_n)$ and $L'(0\varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_n)$ bound a disjoint union $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ of annuli in W that are components of the preimage of $A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \cdots \cup A_n$. We note that $\partial W = \Sigma(K_{n+1}) \cup (-\Sigma(K'_{n+1})) = S^3 \cup (-S^3)$. We may assume that K_{n+1} is in the boundary of the 4-ball B^4 and bounds a properly embedded 2-disk in B^4 which is obtained from a disk in ∂B^4 bounded by K_{n+1} by pushing it into B^4 . Let Σ be the double branched cover of B^4 branched over the properly embedded disk. Let $N(\tilde{\mathcal{A}})$ be a regular neighborhood of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$, and $D = \Sigma \cup N(\tilde{\mathcal{A}})$. Let E be the closure of $W \setminus N(\tilde{\mathcal{A}})$. Then we have $D \cup E = W \cup \Sigma$ and note that $D \cap E$ is homeomorphic to $\Sigma(K_{n+1}) \setminus N(L(0\varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_n))$. Applying Lemma 4.2 in [4] to $D \cup E$, we have that the first and second homology groups of $D \cup E$ with rational coefficients are trivial. Hence, by the universal coefficient theorem for homology, we have the first and second betti numbers of $D \cup E$ are zero. Lemma 3.2 therefore implies that there is a prime number p such that $H_1(D \cup E; \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong H_2(D \cup E; \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \{0\}$. By the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence, we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots &\longrightarrow H_2(D \cap E; \mathbb{Z}_p) \longrightarrow H_2(D; \mathbb{Z}_p) \oplus H_2(E; \mathbb{Z}_p) \longrightarrow \{0\} \\ &\longrightarrow H_1(D \cap E; \mathbb{Z}_p) \longrightarrow H_1(D; \mathbb{Z}_p) \oplus H_1(E; \mathbb{Z}_p) \longrightarrow \{0\} \longrightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Since D is homotopic to a point, we have that $H_1(D; \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong H_2(D; \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \{0\}$. Therefore the homomorphism $H_1(D \cap E; \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow H_1(E; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is a bijection and the homomorphism $H_2(D \cap E; \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow H_2(E; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is a surjection. A theorem of Stallings [18, 5.1 Theorem] implies that the inclusion map $D \cap E \rightarrow E$ induces the following isomorphism:

$$\frac{\pi_1(D \cap E)}{(\pi_1(D \cap E))_q^p} \xrightarrow{\cong} \frac{\pi_1(E)}{(\pi_1(E))_q^p}$$

for any natural number q . Hence the inclusion map $\Sigma(K_{n+1}) \setminus L(0\varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_n) \rightarrow W \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ induces the following isomorphism:

$$\frac{\pi_1(\Sigma(K_{n+1}) \setminus L(0\varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_n))}{(\pi_1(\Sigma(K_{n+1}) \setminus L(0\varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_n)))_q^p} \xrightarrow{\cong} \frac{\pi_1(W \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}})}{(\pi_1(W \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}))_q^p}.$$

Similarly the inclusion map $\Sigma(K'_{n+1}) \setminus L'(0\varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_n) \rightarrow W \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ implies that

$$\frac{\pi_1(\Sigma(K'_{n+1}) \setminus L'(0\varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_n))}{(\pi_1(\Sigma(K'_{n+1}) \setminus L'(0\varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_n)))_q^p} \xrightarrow{\cong} \frac{\pi_1(W \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}})}{(\pi_1(W \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}))_q^p}.$$

It follows that we have

$$\frac{\pi_1(\Sigma(K_{n+1}) \setminus L(0\varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_n))}{(\pi_1(\Sigma(K_{n+1}) \setminus L(0\varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_n)))_q^p} \cong \frac{\pi_1(\Sigma(K'_{n+1}) \setminus L'(0\varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_n))}{(\pi_1(\Sigma(K'_{n+1}) \setminus L'(0\varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_n)))_q^p}.$$

Since $L(0\varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_n)$ and $L'(0\varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_n)$ bound $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$, both peripheral structures of them are preserved by the isomorphism above. This implies that $M_L(I)_p = M_{L'}(I)_p$. We note that the equation hold for any prime number which is greater than p . This and the fact that $\mu_L(I)_p$ is the modulo- p reduction of $\mu_L(I)_0$ (Remark 2.1 (1)) complete the proof. $\square$

4. MILNOR INVARIANTS AND COVERING MILNOR INVARIANTS

From now on we denote $\bar{\mu}_L(I)_0$ by $\bar{\mu}_L(I)$ as usual. A link is Brunnian if any proper sublink is trivial.

Theorem 4.1. *Let L be an oriented ordered $(n+1)$ -component Brunnian link in S^3 ($n \geq 2$). For a non-repeated sequence $I = i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{n+1}$ with $i_k = n+1$ ($2 \leq k \leq n$),*

$$\bar{\mu}_L(I) \equiv \sum_{(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in \mathcal{E}(I)} \bar{\mu}_{L(\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_n)}(I \setminus \{n+1\}) \pmod{2},$$

where $\mathcal{E}(I) = \{(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n \mid \varepsilon_{i_{k-1}} = \varepsilon_{i_{k+1}} = 0\}$ and $I \setminus \{n+1\}$ is a subsequence of I obtained by deleting $n+1 (= i_k)$.

Remark 4.2. There is a 3-component Brunnian link (see Figure 7.1) such that $\bar{\mu}_L(132) = -1$ and $\bar{\mu}_{L(00)}(12) = 1$. Hence Theorem 4.1 does not hold without taking modulo 2.

Remark 4.3. Since the image of $L(\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_n) \setminus K_i^{\varepsilon_i}$ is a trivial link that bounds a disjoint union of disks in $S^3 \setminus K_{n+1}$, $L(\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_n)$ is also a Brunnian link in $\Sigma(K_{n+1}) (= S^3)$. Hence we can repeatedly apply Theorem 4.1 to covering links. Then we have that the length $n+1$ Milnor invariants for L is modulo-2 congruent to a sum of linking numbers of ‘iterated’ covering links.

5. CLASPERS

We use clasper theory introduced by K. Habiro [7] to prove Theorem 4.1. In this section, we briefly recall from [7] the basic notions of clasper theory. In this paper, we essentially only need the notion of C_k -tree. For a general definition of claspers, we refer the reader to [7]. Let L be a link in S^3 .


Definition 5.1. An embedded disk T in S^3 is called a *tree clasper* for L if it satisfies the following (1), (2) and (3):

- (1) T is decomposed into disks and bands, called *edges*, each of which connects two distinct disks.
- (2) The disks have either 1 or 3 incident edges, called *disk-leaves* or *nodes* respectively.
- (3) L intersects T transversely and the intersections are contained in the union of the interior of the disk-leaves.

The *degree* of a tree clasper is the number of the disk-leaves minus 1. (In [7], a tree clasper is called a *strict tree clasper*.) A degree k tree clasper is called a C_k -tree. A C_k -tree is *simple* if each disk-leaf intersects L at one point.

We will make use of the drawing convention for claspers of Fig. 4 in [7]. Given a C_k -tree T for L , there is a procedure to construct a framed link $\gamma(T)$ in a regular neighborhood of T . *Surgery along T* means surgery along $\gamma(T)$. Since surgery along $\gamma(T)$ preserves the ambient space, surgery along the C_k -tree T can be regarded as a local move on L in S^3 . We will denote by L_T the link in S^3 which is obtained from L by surgery along T . Similarly, for a disjoint union of tree claspers $T_1 \cup T_2 \cup \cdots \cup T_m$, we can define $L_{T_1 \cup T_2 \cup \cdots \cup T_m}$. A C_k -tree T having the shape of tree clasper like Figure 5.1 is called a *linear C_k -tree*, and the leftmost and rightmost disk-leaves of T are called the *ends* of T . In particular, surgery along a simple linear C_k -tree for L is ambient isotopic to a band summing of L and the $(k+1)$ -component Milnor link (Fig. 7 in [12]), see Figure 5.1.

Definition 5.2. A simple C_k -tree T for $L = K_1 \cup K_2 \cup \cdots \cup K_n$ is a C_k^d -tree if $|\{i \mid K_i \cap T \neq \emptyset, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}| = k+1$. Let T be a linear C_k^d -tree with the ends f_0 and f_k . Since T is a disk, we can travel from f_0 to f_k along the boundary of

FIGURE 5.1. Surgery along a simple linear C_k -tree

T so that we meet all other disk-leaves $f_1, \dots, f_{k-1}$ in this order. If f_s intersects the i_s th component of L ($s = 0, \dots, k$), we can consider two vectors $(i_0, \dots, i_k)$ and $(i_k, \dots, i_0)$, and may assume that $(i_0, \dots, i_k) \leq (i_k, \dots, i_0)$, where ' $\leq$ ' is the lexicographic order in $\mathbb{Z}^{k+1}$. We call $(i_0, \dots, i_k)$ the *o-index* of T .


The following theorem is essentially shown by Milnor [12].

Theorem 5.3. [12, Section 5] *Let $O = O_1 \cup O_2 \cup \dots \cup O_{n+1}$ be an oriented $(n+1)$ -component trivial link in S^3 , and T a linear C_n^d -tree for O with the o-index $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1})$. Then all Milnor invariants of O_T with the length $\leq n$ vanish and for a non-repeated sequence $i_1 j_2 \dots j_n i_{n+1}$ of $\{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$*

$$|\overline{\mu}_{O_T}(i_1 j_2 \dots j_n i_{n+1})| = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j_2 j_3 \dots j_n = i_2 i_3 \dots i_n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The following lemma is shown similarly to Lemma 2.9 in [11].

Lemma 5.4. *Let L be a link, and let T_I, T_H and T_X be C_k -trees for L which differ only in a small ball as illustrated in Figure 5.2. Then there are C_k -trees T'_H and T'_X such that $L_{T'_H \cup T'_X}$ is ambient isotopic to L_{T_I} , and $L \cup T'_H \cup T'_X$ is obtained from $L \cup T_H \cup T_X$ by changing crossings among edges of the claspers and L .*

FIGURE 5.2. The IHX relation for C_k -trees

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 4.1

Let $L = K_1 \cup K_2 \cup \dots \cup K_{n+1}$ be an oriented $(n+1)$ -component Brunnian link in S^3 . Since for a non-repeated sequence $I = i_1 i_2 \dots i_{n+1}$ in Theorem 4.1, the essential condition is that $n+1$ is neither i_1 nor i_{n+1} , we may assume that $i_1 = 1$ and $i_{n+1} = 2$ to avoid complicated arguments in this section.

Let $\Sigma(K_{n+1})$ be the double branched cover of S^3 branched over K_{n+1} . It is shown in [14], [8] that there is a disjoint union of linear C_n^d -trees $T_1 \cup T_2 \cup \dots \cup T_m$ for an $(n+1)$ -component trivial link $O = O_1 \cup O_2 \cup \dots \cup O_{n+1}$ such that L and $O_{T_1 \cup T_2 \cup \dots \cup T_m}$ are ambient isotopic. Consider induction on the length of the path connecting two disk-leaves of each T_r grasping O_1 and O_2 , Lemma 5.4 implies the following.

Proposition 6.1. *Let L be an $(n+1)$ -component Brunnian link in S^3 . There is a disjoint union of linear C_n^d -trees $T_1 \cup T_2 \cup \cdots \cup T_m$ for an $(n+1)$ -component trivial link $O = O_1 \cup O_2 \cup \cdots \cup O_{n+1}$ such that L and $O_{T_1 \cup T_2 \cup \cdots \cup T_m}$ are ambient isotopic and that the ends of T_r grasp O_1 and O_2 for each $r (= 1, 2, \dots, m)$.*




FIGURE 6.1.

We identify K_{n+1} and O_{n+1} . In the following, we will observe that the preimage of $K_1 \cup K_2 \cup \cdots \cup K_n$ is obtained from the preimage of $O_1 \cup O_2 \cup \cdots \cup O_n$ by surgery along claspers. There is a disjoint union of 3-balls $B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \cdots \cup B_m$ in S^3 such that $B_r \cap (T_1 \cup T_2 \cup \cdots \cup T_m) = T_r$ and $B_r \cap O$ is a trivial tangle. Let $\alpha = B_r \cap O_{n+1}$, see Figure 6.1 (a). We consider the double branched cover of B_r branched over α . By using move 9 in [7], we decompose T_r into two tree claspers T_{r1} and T_{r2} (see Figure 6.1 (b)), where T_{rj} intersects O_j ($j = 1, 2$). Recall that the ends of T_r grasp O_1 and O_2 . Set $O_{j_s}^{\delta_s}$ (resp. $O_j^{\varepsilon_j}$) be a preimage of O_{j_s} (resp. O_j) for $s = 1, 2, \dots, n-2$, $\delta_s (= \varepsilon_{j_s}) \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ (resp. for $j = 1, 2, \varepsilon_j \in \mathbb{Z}_2$). By using genus-1 surface F as illustrated in Figure 6.1 (b), we have a surgery description of the double branched cover as illustrated in Figure 6.2 [1]. Let T_{r1}^ε (resp. T_{r2}^ε) be a preimage of T_{r1} with $T_{r1}^\varepsilon \cap O_{j_l}^\varepsilon \neq \emptyset$ (resp. $T_{r2}^\varepsilon \cap O_{j_{l+1}}^\varepsilon \neq \emptyset$) for $\varepsilon \in \{0, 1\}$. Then we have a new clasper G_r with two boxes, see Figure 6.3. Here a *box*, given in [7], is a disk with 3 incident edges which is obtained by replacing a disjoint union of 3 disk-leaves as illustrated in Figure 6.4.




FIGURE 6.2. A surgery description of the double branched cover

The following lemma is shown by Habiro [7, Proposition 3.4].

Lemma 6.2. [7, Proposition 3.4] *Let T be a tree clasper for a link L such that T has a disk-leaf without intersecting L . Then L_T is ambient isotopic to L .*




FIGURE 6.3.




FIGURE 6.4. A box

Lemma 6.3. *Let T_r be a linear C_n^d -tree for $O = O_1 \cup O_2 \cup \dots \cup O_{n+1}$ with the o-index $(1, j_2, \dots, j_n, 2)$. Then for a sequence $I = 1j_2i_3 \dots i_n2$ with $i_k = n+1$, the following holds:*

$$\sum_{(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in \mathcal{E}(I)} \left| \bar{\mu}_{(O_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cup O_2^{\varepsilon_2} \cup \dots \cup O_n^{\varepsilon_n})_{G_r}}(I \setminus \{n+1\}) \right| = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 1j_2 \dots j_n2 = I, \\ 0 \text{ or } 2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Suppose that $1j_2 \dots j_n2 = I$. If $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in \mathcal{E}(I)$, then $\varepsilon_{i_{k-1}} = \varepsilon_{i_{k+1}} = 0$, and hence by Lemma 6.2 $(O_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cup O_2^{\varepsilon_2} \cup \dots \cup O_n^{\varepsilon_n})_{G_r}$ is ambient isotopic to $(O_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cup O_2^{\varepsilon_2} \cup \dots \cup O_n^{\varepsilon_n})_{(G_r \setminus (T_{r1}^1 \cup T_{r2}^1))}$. Applying move 2 in [7] to $G_r \setminus (T_{r1}^1 \cup T_{r2}^1)$, we have a linear C_{n-1}^d -tree $\widetilde{T}_r$ for $O_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cup O_2^{\varepsilon_2} \cup \dots \cup O_n^{\varepsilon_n}$. If there is an index $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $O_i^{\varepsilon_i} \cap \widetilde{T}_r = \emptyset$, then $(O_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cup O_2^{\varepsilon_2} \cup \dots \cup O_n^{\varepsilon_n})_{\widetilde{T}_r}$ is a trivial link by Lemma 6.2. Since there is a unique choice $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in \mathcal{E}(I)$ such that $O_i^{\varepsilon_i} \cap \widetilde{T}_r \neq \emptyset$ for any i , and since the o-index of $\widetilde{T}_r$ is equal to $(1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}, i_{k+1}, \dots, i_n, 2)$, then by Theorem 5.3 we have that

$$\sum_{(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in \mathcal{E}(I)} \left| \bar{\mu}_{(O_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cup O_2^{\varepsilon_2} \cup \dots \cup O_n^{\varepsilon_n})_{G_r}}(I \setminus \{n+1\}) \right| = 1.$$

Suppose that $1j_2 \dots j_n2 \neq I$ and $1j_2 \dots j_n2 \setminus \{n+1\} = I \setminus \{n+1\}$. If $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in \mathcal{E}(I)$, then $G_r \cap (O_{i_{k-1}}^0 \cup O_{i_{k+1}}^0)$ is contained in $T_{r1}^0 \cup T_{r1}^1$ or $T_{r2}^0 \cup T_{r2}^1$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $T_{r1}^0 \cup T_{r1}^1$ contains $G_r \cap (O_{i_{k-1}}^0 \cup O_{i_{k+1}}^0)$. If both T_{r1}^0 and T_{r1}^1 intersect $O_{i_{k-1}}^0 \cup O_{i_{k+1}}^0$, then by Lemma 6.2, $(O_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cup O_2^{\varepsilon_2} \cup \dots \cup O_n^{\varepsilon_n})_{G_r}$ is trivial. It follows that

$$\sum_{(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in \mathcal{E}(I)} \left| \bar{\mu}_{(O_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cup O_2^{\varepsilon_2} \cup \dots \cup O_n^{\varepsilon_n})_{G_r}}(I \setminus \{n+1\}) \right| = 0.$$

Suppose that either T_{r1}^0 or T_{r1}^1 contains $G_r \cap (O_{i_{k-1}}^0 \cup O_{i_{k+1}}^0)$. Here we may assume that $G_r \cap (O_{i_{k-1}}^0 \cup O_{i_{k+1}}^0) \subset T_{r1}^0$. Then $(O_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cup O_2^{\varepsilon_2} \cup \dots \cup O_n^{\varepsilon_n})_{G_r}$ is ambient isotopic to $(O_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cup O_2^{\varepsilon_2} \cup \dots \cup O_n^{\varepsilon_n})_{G_r \setminus T_{r1}^1}$. We note that by Lemma 6.2 there are exactly two possibilities $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in \mathcal{E}(I)$ such that $(O_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cup O_2^{\varepsilon_2} \cup \dots \cup O_n^{\varepsilon_n})_{G_r \setminus T_{r1}^1}$ is

a nontrivial link. These two choice give us $(O_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cup O_2^{\varepsilon_2} \cup \dots \cup O_n^{\varepsilon_n})_{G_r \setminus T_{r1}^1}$ which is ambient isotopic to either $(O_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cup O_2^{\varepsilon_2} \cup \dots \cup O_n^{\varepsilon_n})_{G_r \setminus (T_{r1}^1 \cup T_{r2}^0)}$ or $(O_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cup O_2^{\varepsilon_2} \cup \dots \cup O_n^{\varepsilon_n})_{G_r \setminus (T_{r1}^1 \cup T_{r2}^1)}$. Applying move 2 in [7] to $G_r \setminus (T_{r1}^1 \cup T_{r2}^{\varepsilon})$ for $\varepsilon \in \{0, 1\}$, we obtain two linear C_{n-1}^d -trees with the o-indexes $(1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}, i_{k+1}, \dots, i_n, 2)$. Hence Theorem 5.3 implies that

$$\sum_{(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in \mathcal{E}(I)} \left| \bar{\mu}_{(O_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cup O_2^{\varepsilon_2} \cup \dots \cup O_n^{\varepsilon_n})_{G_r}}(I \setminus \{n+1\}) \right| = 2.$$

Suppose that $1j_2 \dots j_n 2 \setminus \{n+1\} \neq I \setminus \{n+1\}$. Let $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in \mathcal{E}(I)$ be a choice such that $(O_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cup O_2^{\varepsilon_2} \cup \dots \cup O_n^{\varepsilon_n})_{G_r}$ is nontrivial, that is, by Lemma 6.2 it is ambient isotopic to $(O_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cup O_2^{\varepsilon_2} \cup \dots \cup O_n^{\varepsilon_n})_{G_r \setminus (T_{r1}^{\varepsilon} \cup T_{r2}^{\varepsilon'})}$ for some $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. Applying move 2 in [7] to $G_r \setminus (T_{r1}^{\varepsilon} \cup T_{r2}^{\varepsilon'})$, we obtain a linear C_{n-1}^d -tree whose the o-index is not equal to $(1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}, i_{k+1}, \dots, i_n, 2)$. Hence Theorem 5.3 implies that

$$\sum_{(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in \mathcal{E}(I)} \left| \bar{\mu}_{(O_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cup O_2^{\varepsilon_2} \cup \dots \cup O_n^{\varepsilon_n})_{G_r}}(I \setminus \{n+1\}) \right| = 0.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 4.1. It is shown in [5] that the length- l Milnor invariants are additive under the band sum operation if all Milnor invariants with the length $\leq l-1$ vanish. The boundary ∂B_r of the regular neighborhood B_r of T_r can be assumed to be a decomposing sphere for a band sum operation between O_{T_r} and $O_{(T_1 \cup T_2 \cup \dots \cup T_m) \setminus T_r}$. Hence we may assume that L is a band sum of $m+1$ links $O_{T_1}, O_{T_2}, \dots, O_{T_m}$ and O . Since O_{T_r} is an $(n+1)$ -component Brunnian link for each $r (= 1, 2, \dots, m)$, all Milnor invariants with the length $\leq n$ vanish. Therefore we have that

$$\bar{\mu}_L(I) = \sum_{r=1}^m \bar{\mu}_{O_{T_r}}(I).$$

By combining Theorem 5.3 and Lemma 6.3, we have

$$\sum_{r=1}^m \bar{\mu}_{O_{T_r}}(I) \equiv \sum_{r=1}^m \sum_{(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in \mathcal{E}(I)} \bar{\mu}_{(O_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cup O_2^{\varepsilon_2} \cup \dots \cup O_n^{\varepsilon_n})_{G_r}}(I \setminus \{n+1\}) \pmod{2}.$$

Since $L(\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n)$ is also Brunnian, we have that

$$\bar{\mu}_{L(\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n)}(I \setminus \{n+1\}) = \sum_{r=1}^m \bar{\mu}_{(O_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cup O_2^{\varepsilon_2} \cup \dots \cup O_n^{\varepsilon_n})_{G_r}}(I \setminus \{n+1\}).$$

This completes the proof. □

7. LINK-HOMOTOPY

Link-homotopy is an equivalence relation generated by crossing changes on the same component of links. It is shown in [12] that Milnor invariants for non-repeated sequences are link-homotopy invariants of links. However for covering Milnor invariants the same result does not hold.

Example 7.1. Let $O = O_1 \cup O_2 \cup O_3$ be an oriented 3-component trivial link in S^3 . Let L be a link which is obtained from O by surgery along a linear C_2^d -tree T_1 as illustrated in Figure 7.1 (a), and L' a link which is obtained from O by surgery along a disjoint union of T_1 and a simple linear C_3 -tree T_2 as illustrated in Figure 7.2 (a). It is not hard to see that L and L' are link-homotopic.

Since the both L and L' are Brunnian links, we have the double branched cover of S^3 branched over O_3 which is a component of L (resp. L'). Moreover we have surgery descriptions as illustrated in Figure 7.1 (b) and Figure 7.2 (b). Then




FIGURE 7.1.





FIGURE 7.2.

covering links $L(00)$ and $L(01)$ of L are links as illustrated in Figure 7.3, and hence we have $\overline{\mu}_{L(00)}(12) = 1, \overline{\mu}_{L(01)}(12) = -1$. We conclude that $M_L(12) = \{1, -1\}$. On the other hand, covering links $L'(00)$ and $L'(01)$ of L' are links as illustrated in Figure 7.4. Since $\overline{\mu}_{L'(00)}(12) = 3, \overline{\mu}_{L'(01)}(12) = -3$, we have that $M_{L'}(12) = \{3, -3\}$. Therefore L and L' are link-homotopic, and $M_L(12) \neq M_{L'}(12)$.

FIGURE 7.3. Covering links of L FIGURE 7.4. Covering links of L'

Remark 7.2. Since L and L' are Brunnian links and they are link-homotopic, $\overline{\mu}_L(I) = \overline{\mu}_{L'}(I)$ for any sequence I with the length at most 3. We note that L is the Borromean rings. Hence $|\overline{\mu}_L(123)| = |\overline{\mu}_L(132)| = 1$. It follows that for any sequence I with the length at least 4, $\Delta_L(I)_0 = \Delta_{L'}(I)_0 = \mathbb{Z}$ if each $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ appears in I , or $\mu_L(I) = \mu_{L'}(I) = 0$ otherwise. In both cases, we have $\overline{\mu}_L(I) = \overline{\mu}_{L'}(I) = 0$. This implies that L and L' have the same ordinary Milnor invariants, and different covering Milnor invariants.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Akbulut; R. Kirby, *Branched covers of surfaces in 4-manifolds*, Math. Ann. 252 (1979/80), 111–131.
- [2] C. Bankwitz; H.G. Schumann, *Über viergeflechte* (German), Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 10 (1934), 263–284.
- [3] A.J. Casson, *Link cobordism and Milnor's invariant*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 7 (1975), 39–40.
- [4] A.J. Casson; C. McA. Gordon, *On slice knots in dimension three*, Algebraic and geometric topology (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Stanford Univ., Stanford, Calif., 1976), Part 2, pp. 39–53, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXII, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1978.
- [5] T.D. Cochran, *Concordance invariance of coefficients of Conway's link polynomial*, Invent. Math. 82 (1985), 527–541.
- [6] T.D. Cochran; K.E. Orr, *Homology cobordism and generalization of Milnor's invariants*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 8 (1999), 429–436.

- [7] K. Habiro, *Claspers and finite type invariants of links*, Geom. Topol. 4 (2000), 1–83.
- [8] K. Habiro, *Brunnian links, claspers and Goussarov-Vassiliev finite type invariants*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 142 (2007), 459–468.
- [9] R. Hartley; K. Murasugi, *Covering linkage invariants*, Canad. J. Math. 29 (1977), 1312–1339.
- [10] H.B. Laufer, *Some numerical link invariants*, Topology 10 (1971), 119–131.
- [11] J-B. Meilhan, *On surgery along Brunnian links in 3-manifolds*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 6 (2006), 2417–2453.
- [12] J. Milnor, *Link groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) 59 (1954), 177–195.
- [13] J. Milnor, *Isotopy of links*, Algebraic geometry and topology, A symposium in honor of S. Lefschetz, pp. 280–306. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J. (1957).
- [14] H. Miyazawa; A. Yasuhara, *Classification of n -component Brunnian links up to C_n -move*, Topology Appl. 153 (2006), 1643–1650.
- [15] K. Murasugi, *Nilpotent coverings of links and Milnor’s invariant*, Low-dimensional topology (Chelwood Gate, 1982), 106–142, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 95, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1985.
- [16] K. Perko, *On the classification of knots*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 45 (1974), 262–266.
- [17] D. Rolfsen, *Knots and links*, Corrected reprint of the 1976 original. Mathematics Lecture Series, 7. Publish or Perish, Inc., Houston, TX, 1990.
- [18] J. Stallings, *Homology and central series of groups*, J. Algebra 2 (1965) 170–181.

TOKYO METROPOLITAN FUJIMORI HIGH SCHOOL, NAGABUSA, HACHIOJI, TOKYO 193-0824, JAPAN

E-mail address: ntk08g@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SCHOOL OF EDUCATION, WASEDA UNIVERSITY, NISHI-WASEDA 1-6-1, SHINJUKU-KU, TOKYO, 169-8050, JAPAN

E-mail address: k.wada@akane.waseda.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO GAKUGEI UNIVERSITY, 4-1-1 NUKUIKITA-MACHI, KOGANEI-SHI, TOKYO, 184-8501, JAPAN

E-mail address: yasuhara@u-gakugei.ac.jp